

Practitioner's Docket No. 57152 (70551) PATENT

(Amendment Transmittal--page 1 of 4)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Application No.: Filed: For:		M. Hamamoto, et al. 10/085,181 February 27, 2002 MOVING APPARATUS	Group No.: Examiner:	3644 Dinh, Tien Quang
	missioner for Pa hington, D.C. 202			
		AMENDMENT	TRANSMITTAL	RED
1.	Transmitted he	erewith is an amendment for thi	s application.	GD APP OF THE
		STA	TUS	100 3 2002 D
2.	[]	l entity. A statement: is attached. was already filed. han a small entity.		GROUP SOU
		EXTENSION	OF TERM	ju jujit in em
NOTE:	"Extension of Time Non-Final Office A after expiration of	complete response has been filed after a Nor entry of an additional amendment		
	unless the timely-fil	at or juing anavor entry of an addition led response placed the application in	tion, an extension of tin al amendment after exp condition for allowance	ne is required to permit filing and/or entry piration of the shortened statutory period e. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has lotice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G.
	CER	TIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANS	MISSION (37 C.F.R. S	SECTION 1.8(a))
I hereby	certify that, on the da	te shown below, this correspondence is	s being:	
	MAI	LING		FACSIMILE
[x]	with sufficient posta	United States Postal Service ge as first class mail in an to the Commissioner for	[] transmitte Trademar	ed by facsimile to the Patent and k Office (703)
	, wanington	,	Kathyn Q	. Trindsol Signature
Date: Ma	arch 24, 2003			
			(type or print name o	Kathryn A. Grindrod of person certifying)

NOTE:		See 37 C.F.R. Section 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. Section 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.					
3.	The apply	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.3 apply.					
			(co	omplete (a) or (b), as applicable)			
	(a)	(a) [] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months che below:					
		Exter	nsion	Fee for other than	Б. С		
		(mon		small entity	Fee for		
	[] one month [] two months [] three months [] four months		,	\$ 110.00	small entity		
				\$ 410.00	\$ 55.00		
				\$ 930.00	\$ 205.00		
				\$ 1,450.00	\$ 465.00 \$ 725.00		
	Ϊĺ		month	\$ 1,970.00	\$ 725.00		
	- 1			Ψ 1,970.00	\$ 985.00		
				Fee: \$			
If an ad	ditiona	l extens	ion of time is requ	ired, please consider this a petiti	on therefor.		
				l complete the next item, if applic			
	An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension requested.						
			Extension fee de	ue with this request \$			
				OR			
	(b)	[X] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.					

FEE FOR CLAIMS

The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. Section 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below: 4.

	(Col.1) Claims		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
	Remaining After Amendmen		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	*	Minus	20	=	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 =	\$
Indep.	*	Minus	3	=	x \$42 =	\$		x \$84 =	\$
[] Firs	st Presentation	on of Mu	ltiple Depende	ent Claim	+ \$140 =	\$		+ \$280 =	= \$
					Total Addit. Fee	\$	OR	Total Addit. Fee	\$
*** If tl	he "Highest No he "Highest No	. Previously . Previously	an the entry in Co y Paid For" IN TH y Paid For" IN TH Paid For" (Total o	IIS SPACE i IIS SPACE i	s less than 20, en s less than 3, ente	er "3"			

WARNING:

"After final rejection or action (Section 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

[X] No additional fee for claims is required. (c)

OR

Total additional fee for claims required \$ ______. (d) []

FEE PAYMENT

5.	[]	Attached is a check in the sum of \$ Charge Account No the sum of \$
		A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

^{&#}x27;Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. __04-1105. [X]

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 04-1105. [X]

Respectfully submitted

Date: March 24, 2003

By:

17 und a. 7 metres David A. Tucker

Reg. No. 27,840

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group Edwards & Angell, LLP P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209

Tele: (617)-517-5508 Customer No.: 21,874

330068



Docket No. 57152 (705

EXAMINER: Dinh, Man Qua. OUP: 3644 App 2003 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S):

M. Hamamoto, et al.

SERIAL NO:

10/085,181

FILED:

February 27, 2002.

FOR:

MOVING APPARATUS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC, 20231 on March 20, 2003.

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION REQUIRING ELECTION/RESTRICTION

This is in response to the currently outstanding non-final Official Action in the above-identified case dated 24 February 2003.

In the currently outstanding non-final Official Action, the Examiner has:

1. Identified the following species of the present invention that he alleges to be patentably distinct from one another:

Species A that refers to Figs. 1-10, 14-16 and 37-39

Species B that refers to Figs. 11, 14-16, 37-39

Species C that refers to Figs. 12, 14-16, 37-39

Species D that refers to Figs. 13-16, 37-39

Species E that refers to Figs. 15-37

- 2. Required the Applicants to elect one of the foregoing species under 35 USC 121 for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted in the event that no generic claim is found to be allowable.
- 3. Indicated that Claims 1-4 and 13-15 are currently deemed to be generic.
- 4. Required Applicants to additionally list all of the pending claims that they deem to be readable on the species that they elect.

- 5. Indicated that upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims directed to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all of the limitations of the allowed generic claim.
- 6. Reminded Applicants that any claims added to this application must specify the species to which they are directed.
- 7. Reminded the Applicants of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.48(b) concerning a change in inventorship arising from the cancellation of claims directed to a non-elected invention.

No further comment concerning items 3, 5 and 6 is deemed to be required in this Response.

With respect to items 1 and 2, Applicants hereby elect Species A (Figures 1-10, 14-16, 37-39) for prosecution in the event that no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

With respect to item 4, Applicants respectfully submit that pending claims 1-8, 13-15, 18 and 19 are readable on Species A.

With respect to item 7, Applicants note that no claims have been cancelled by the present response to the currently outstanding Official Action, and that hence no action under 37 CFR 1.48(b) needs to be considered at this time.

Finally, Applicants undersigned attorney is unable to confirm from his records the Examiner's comment concerning a telephone call made to him by the Examiner on 22 October 2002 concerning the present election requirement. It, therefore, is assumed that for reasons presently unknown the Examiner's call did not result in a discussion between the Examiner and the undersigned.

Applicants respectfully submit that this communication is fully responsive to the currently outstanding Official Action in the above-identified application. Early substantive consideration and allowance is respectfully requested. U.S. Serial No. 10/085,181 M. Hamamoto, et al.

Page 5

Applicants also believe that additional fees beyond those submitted herewith

are not required in connection with the consideration of this response to the currently

outstanding Official Action. However, if for any reason a fee is required, a fee paid is

inadequate or credit is owed for any excess fee paid, you are hereby authorized and

requested to charge and/or credit Deposit Account No. 04-1105, as necessary, for the

correct payment of all fees which may be due in connection with the filing and

consideration of this communication.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 24 March 2003

By:

Bound a. Tuetrez David A. Tucker

(Reg. No. 27,840)

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169

Boston, MA 02209 Tel: 617-439-4444

Fax: 617-439-4170

BOS2_329691